

U.S. Department of Energy Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office

Environmental Evaluation Checklist

PPPO-F-450.1 Revision 1 February 2006

National Environmental Policy Act Review

Instructions:

- Submit one copy of the completed checklist with supplemental information to the DOE Project Coordinator
- DOE Project Coordinator will distribute to PPPO NEPA Compliance Officer for approval
- Completion of this checklist is not required for CERCLA actions. However, the Contractor's Project Coordinator is responsible for ensuring that a commensurate level of project detail is provided to the CERCLA Project Manager so that proper consideration and analysis of the work can be performed via the CERCLA process.

proper consideration and analysis of the work can be performed via the CERCLA process.					
Activity title and project	number (if any)	5	Date:		
Asbestos Abatement A	ctions		09/26/2014		
Project contact name	Telephone number	DOE Project Coordinator	Telephone number		
Activity start date	Activity end date		Activity location		
Ongoing	N/A		PORTS/PGDP		

Activity description:

The Department of Energy (DOE) Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office (PPPO) proposes to perform asbestos abatement, removal and renovation actions which would include cleanup, encapsulation, removal, and/or disposal of asbestos-containing materials (ACM) from existing buildings or structures. Some of the ACM may be radiologically contaminated. Abatement actions would include disposal of ACM in accordance with regulations at existing facilities permitted/approved to handle the waste generated from these removal actions. Abatement actions would be conducted in accordance with 40 CFR 61. In some instances the abated material would be replaced with an asbestos-free material.

The proposed actions would take place at DOE-owned facilities at the DOE Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PORTS) at Piketon, Ohio and the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PGDP) at Paducah, Kentucky.

Detailed description:

The proposed actions would involve one or more of the following types of abatement with respect to ACM: (1) characterization, (2) cleanup, (3) encapsulation, (4) removal, (5) proper disposal of the ACM, and (6) possible replacement with asbestos-free materials.

Cleanup of ACM involves a combination of one or more of the following: picking up, shoveling, bagging, wrapping, vacuuming, and wet wiping any asbestos-contaminated items. Encapsulation involves spraying, painting, or (in some manner) sealing friable ACM. Removal is the elimination of ACM and includes stripping ACM insulation from pipes, tearing out ACM wallboard or ceiling tiles, removing ACM floor tiles, etc. Cleanup, encapsulation, and/or removal actions are performed by trained and qualified maintenance personnel or a licensed subcontractor in accordance with the Asbestos Hazardous Emergency Response Act. Removal and replacement actions might also involve the removal of ACM and replacement with an asbestos-free material.

The removed ACM would be bagged and evaluated to determine the appropriate disposal pathway. If radiological contamination levels in the ACM are found to exceed specifications, the waste would undergo volume reduction and either be stored in appropriate containers pending further regulatory guidance or disposed of in an area designated specifically for disposal of this material. Individual projects are also evaluated for options to reduce or eliminate generation of waste materials.

The proposed asbestos abatement actions that would take place on the Paducah site have been reviewed in accordance with the *Cultural Resource Management Plan* (CRMP) (BJC/PAD-691/RI, March 2006) or the site's Programmatic Agreement (PA) and would not result in an adverse effect to historic properties.

At PORTS, the proposed action has been reviewed and addressed as a No Potential to Effect historic properties and has been duly documented to the file. DOE/PPPO would review the proposed activities to ensure they are covered by the No Potential to Effect letter and if they are not, a Section 106 review would be completed. A future Programmatic Agreement may also cover the proposed asbestos abatement activities and satisfy Section 106 for any actions not include in the No Potential to Effect letter.

To ensure that sensitive resources are protected, existing maps and surveys/studies of threatened and endangered species and their habitats, wetlands and floodplains, and historic properties would be used to locate these areas. In addition, personnel responsible for identifying sensitive resources would be contacted and, if warranted, additional surveys and walkovers would be conducted to confirm or update available information.

No known extraordinary circumstances would be associated with these actions that might affect the significance or the environmental effects of the proposed action based on past similar actions. These actions would not be connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts; they would meet the conditions that are integral elements of the classes of actions that may be categorically excluded from further National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation. Should the action not meet the conditions for CX consideration, a separate NEPA document would occur and any necessary next steps pursuant to NEPA would be determined based upon the review.

Although an action might fall under the category of "asbestos abatement," a separate NEPA review would be performed and documented should the action or related/cumulative effect of the action have the potential to result in a significant impact to the environment.

The proposed activity is categorically excluded and adequately covered pursuant to 10 CFR Part 1021.410, Subpart D, Appendix B, B1.16, *Asbestos removal*.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Checklist

Transfer Environmental Landy 1100 (112111) Checking						
Questions to answer: *A checklist is required to be submitted, evaluated, and approved for all proposed site actions that a NEPA review may be performed.	s so Yes	No				
1. Will this activity result in a change in emissions, generation rates, or new discharge of hazardous, mixed, radioactive, asbestos,						
PCB, sanitary/industrial, solid or liquid waste, petroleum substance, wastewater, or any other pollutants from a facility or proce						
2. Will this activity be located in a previously developed area?	ss:					
3. Will this activity involve siting, construction, modification, renovation, closure or D&D of facilities or processes?						
4. Will this activity potentially affect environmentally sensitive areas/resources, such as flood plain/wetlands, archeological or						
architectural historic properties, threatened or endangered species, and/or their habitat, special water sources (e.g. aquifer)?						
5. Will this activity involve site characterization, environmental monitoring, or R&D programs?	📙					
6. Will this activity involve any type of land disturbance, underground storage tank (UST), or subsurface injection/extraction?						
7. Will this activity involve a site evaluation area, RCRA/CERCLA area/facility?						
*Note:						
- If any are unknown, call DOE PPPO NEPA Compliance Officer or Project Environmental Coordinator for consultation						
- Consult with DOE PPPO NEPA Compliance Officer or Project Environmental Coordinator; file with project						
- If any are marked "Yes", complete the rest of the NEPA checklist						
Environmental Impacts Evaluation (Note: If any are "Yes", provide specifics/supplemental information.)						
Air						
Will there be a new air emission or a change in the quantity of an existing air emission?						
Surface Water						
 Will there be a liquid release to streams, wetlands, seepage basins, storm drains, process sewers, ponds, or lakes? 						
Will river or stream water be utilized?		ΠĒ				
Groundwater						
Will there be a discharge to subsurface/groundwater?						
Will groundwater be utilized?						
Safety Is there a potential exposure to hazardous substances (e.g. radiological/toxic/chemical materials)?						
• Is there a potential for explosion or criticality?						
Does action involve transportation of hazardous materials?						
Natural/Cultural Resources						
 Is there a potential for impacts on wetlands, streams, river beds, ponds? 						
Is there a potential impact on fish/wildlife resources or habitats?						
Is there a potential impact on protected species (e.g. sensitive, state or federally-listed rare, threatened, or endangered?						
Is there a potential for causing a direct or indirect adverse effect to historic properties?		$\vdash \exists \vdash$				
Does this action require an excavation permit? Will this action involve continuous descapations and the biology concernmentally designed a principle was a second as a second and a second a second and a second and a second and a second and a second a second and a second an						
 Will this action involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds or invasive species? 						
For DOE PPPO NEPA Compliance Officer use only (NEPA recommendation)						
Are there potential cumulative effects when combined with other actions?						
Is the proposed activity a component of a larger line item project?						
Write in document title or reference number:						
CX applied for by DOE Project Coordinator (Must meet all requirements of 10 CFR 1021.410(b)): B1.16						
Covered by previous NEPA documentation (CX, EA, EIS): (Write in document title or reference number)						
Additional NEPA documentation required:						
DOE Project Coordinator signature Date checklist completed:						
For DOE PPPO NEPA Compliance Officer Use Only (NEPA determination).						
20. 202111 Computate Onico one only (AMA determination).						
The Asbestos Abatement Categorical Exclusion was developed to cover these types of actions for the DOE PPPO. Many of these actions involve day-to-						
day work activities at Portsmouth and Paducah.						
Based upon my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance						
Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have determined that the proposed action fits the specific class of actions, the other regulatory						
requirements set forth above are met, and the proposed actions are hereby categorically excluded for further review.						
Approved Approved - with comments NOT approved - alternate NEPA action required						
DOE PPPO NEPA Compliance Officer signature Date of signature:						
of Misti Muhle 9-26-14						